

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIANOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**FILED**

2008 AUG 19 PM 3:03

TO:  U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE /  U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Jones CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
 FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 RECEIVED DATE: 8/18/2008

CASE NO.: 08cv1178 J (NLS) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff [initials] DEPUTY

CASE TITLE: Gray v. Doe, et al

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion to proceed in forma pauperis

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	<b>Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<b>X</b>	<b><u>OTHER: Case dismissed as duplicative.</u></b>

Date forwarded: 8/18/2008ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Judge JonesBy: SPK/PSCC

Dated: 8/19/08  
 cc: All Parties

**GREGORY LEE GRAY**

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER/MOVANT'S NAME

**J05459**

PRISON NUMBER

**H. D. S. P., B-2-213  
P. O. BOX 3030, SUSANVILLE, CA 96127**

PLACE OF CONFINEMENT

**(SAME AS ABOVE)**

ADDRESS

**REJECTED**

**United States District Court  
Southern District Of California**

**08CV1178 J(NLS)**Civil No. **3:08-CV-01147 JM-LSP.**

(TO BE FILLED IN BY U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK)

**GREGORY LEE GRAY**,  
Plaintiff/Petitioner/Movant

**JOHN DOE DIRECTOR V. OF CDCR,  
ROBERT HERNANDEZ, WARDEN, K. STERLING,  
E. MARRERO, AND A. R. PETERSON ALL OF  
R. J. DONOVAN CORREC-** Defendant/Respondent

**TIONAL FACILITY, et al.,**

**MOTION AND DECLARATION UNDER  
PENALTY OF PERJURY IN SUPPORT  
OF MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA  
PAUPERIS**

**I, GREGORY LEE GRAY**

declare that I am the Plaintiff/Petitioner/Movant in this case. In support of my request to proceed without prepayment of fees or security under 28 U.S.C. § 1915, I further declare I am unable to pay the fees of this proceeding or give security because of my poverty, and that I believe I am entitled to redress.

**In further support of this application, I answer the following question under penalty of perjury:**

1. Are you currently incarcerated?  Yes  No (If "No" go to question 2)

If "Yes," state the place of your incarceration \_\_\_\_\_

Are you employed at the institution?  Yes  No

Do you receive any payment from the institution?  Yes  No

[Have the institution fill out the Certificate portion of this affidavit and attach a certified copy of the trust account statement from the institution of your incarceration showing at least the last six months transactions.]